04/25/2007ase57997-cv9095324-893R Document 9v2LLagEilendaR8/22#2007

Page 1 of AGE 04/14

AO.440 (Ray, 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action - SDNY WEB 4/99

United	States	District	Contrage of Briancliff MANO
~*********	estutta.	245 IZILLILL	MILLER BULLIAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANO

UNDA DIMEGLIO

DISTRICT OF ______NEW YORKRECEIVED

DPP95:070x2:444

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

V.

CASE NUMBER:

VILLAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANOR

07 CIV. 3324

TO: (Name and address of defendant)

VILLAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANOR 111 PLEASANTVILLE ROAD BRIACLIFF MANOR, NEW YORK 10510

ROBINSON

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

BAKER, LESHKO, SALINE & BLOSSER, LLP ONE NORTH LEXINGTON AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601 914.681.9500

an answer to the complaint which is he summons upon you, exclusive of the the relief demanded in the complaint. of time after service.	day of service of your fall to de	independent in the second	_days after service of this be taken against you for ithin a reasonable period
at anna armi aci arce.			

J. MICHAEL McMAHON

APR 2 6 2007

DATE


(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

		RETUR	N OF SERVICE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Se	ervice of the Summone and C		OF SERVICE	DATE
NAM	ervice of the Summons and Co	omplaint was made by	y me¹	
	hanka			TITLE
<u> Cri</u>	heck оле box below to indicate	appropriate method o	of service	
	Left copies thereof at the def	fendant's dwelling hou	ise or liqual nices	of abode with a person of suitable age
]	Returned unexecuted:			
]				
<u></u>				
VEL		STATEMENT O	F SERVICE FEE	
VEL		STATEMENT O	F SERVICE FEES	TOTAL
IVEL		SERVICES	N OF SERVER	TOTAL
in	I declare under penalty If ormation contained in the Re If ormation contained in the Re	DECLARATIO	N OF SERVER aws of the United	States of America that the foregoing ce Fees is true and correct.
in	I declare under penalty oformation contained in the Re	DECLARATIO	N OF SERVER	States of America that the foregoing ce Fees is true and correct.
in	I declare under penalty If ormation contained in the Re If ormation contained in the Re	DECLARATIO	N OF SERVER aws of the United	States of America that the foregoing ce Fees is true and correct.
	I declare under penalty If ormation contained in the Re If ormation contained in the Re	DECLARATIO	aws of the United tatement of Servi	States of America that the foregoing ce Fees is true and correct.
in	I declare under penalty If ormation contained in the Re If ormation contained in the Re	DECLARATIO	aws of the United tatement of Service Signature of Service Address of Service	States of America that the foregoing ce Fees is true and correct.

Page 3 of PAGE 06/14

UILLAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANOR
RECEIVED
APRZE/07PM2:45

BAKER, LESHKO, SALINE & BLOSSER, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff
One North Lexington Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601
914.681.9500
Mitchell J. Baker (MB-4339)



07 CIV. 3324 Robinson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LINDA DIMEGLIO,

07 Civ.

(

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMPLAINT

VILLAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANOR,

Defendant,

Plaintiff, Linda DiMeglio, by her attorneys, Baker, Leshko, Saline & Blosser, LLP, complaining of the above-mentioned defendant, alleges as follows:

<u>Parties</u>

I. Plaintiff Linda DiMeglio is a citizen and resident of the County of Westchester, State of New York.

2. Defendant Village of Briarcliff Manor (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Briarcliff") is a municipal entity organized under and existing

Jurisdiction

pursuant to the laws of the State of New York.

- 3. This action involves the deprivation of certain rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution and federal law, an in particular Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter "Title VII").
- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court is based upon 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question).
- 5. Venue of this Court is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

Background

- 6. Police Officer DiMeglio was and is at all relevant times herein an employee of the Village of Briarcliff Manor, employed by the Police Department thereof as a police officer.
- 7. Police Officer DiMeglio has worked as a police officer for the Briarcliff Police Department for a period of approximately five (5) years.
- 8. The Briarcliff Manor Police Department is a small department and at all relevant times herein had approximately twenty (20) members, including officers and patrolman.

- 9. Police Officer DiMeglio is a femalei
- 10. At all relevant times herein, the Briarcliff Manor Police Department has two female members, Police Officer DiMeglio and one other female police officer.
- 11. On or about December 28, 2005, Police Officer DiMeglio suffered serious injuries to her back and left knee.
- 12. The injuries Police Officer DiMeglio suffered were performed on her job as a Police Officer for the Briarchiff Police Department.
- 13. Due to the injuries Police Officer DiMeglio suffered on or about December 28, 2005, Police Officer DiMeglio was unable to perform her duties as a police officer.
 - 14. At the time of her accident, Police Officer DiMeglio was pregnant.
- 15. The Village of Briarcliff Manor through its representatives, agents and officer knew that Police Officer DiMeglio was pregnant at the time of her injuries.
- 16. On or about January 4, 2006, Police Officer DiMeglio filed an application for disability benefits provided under New York General Municipal Law §207-c.

Page 6 of 1PAGE 09/14

- 17. In essence, General Municipal Law §207-c provides that police officers are entitled to full pay and medical benefits if they are injured in the performance of duty.
- 18. On or about January 11, 2006, Police Officer DiMeglio received a determination from Briarcliff denying her disability benefits under General Municipal Law §207-c stating the she was not injured during her scheduled work hours.
- 19. On or about January 17, 2006, Police Officer DiMeglio submitted a request to appeal the determination of Briarcliff denying disability benefits provided under General Municipal Law §207-c.
- 20. In such appeal, Briarcliff took the position that Police Officer DiMeglio was not entitled to benefits under General Municipal Law §207-c as she was not injured during her scheduled work hours.
- 21. On or about July 31, 2006, the Arbitrator who heard the appeal issued a decision denying Police Officer DiMeglio benefits under General Municipal Law §207-c.
- 22. Prior to the decision of Briarcliff not to provide Police Officer DiMeglio, a certain Dennis Waldron was employed by Briarcliff as a Police Officer.

- 24. Police Officer Waldron injured himself when he shot himself with his off-duty revolver.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Police Officer Waldron was a Sergeant with the Briarcliff Police Department at the time of his accident.
- 26. The Village of Briarcliff awarded Police Officer Waldron benefits under General Municipal Law §207-c.
- 27. Upon information and belief, the decision of award Police Officer Waldron benefits of General Municipal Law §2071c was done in the first instance without the need for any appeal on his part.
 - 28. Officer Waldron is male.
- 29. Plaintiff timely filed a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission alleging sex discrimination as set forth herein.
- 30. On or about April 19, 2007 the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission issued a "right to sue letter" a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A".

Document 9-2^{ILLA}時優別**68**2272007

AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Sex Discrimination)

- 31. Plaintiff is an employee of Briardliff within the meaning of Title VII.
- 32. The Village of Briarcliff Manor is an employer within the meaning of Title VII.
- 33. The Village of Briarcliff Manor discriminated against Police Officer DiMeglio in that it denied her benefits under General Municipal Law §207-c wherein it allowed benefits to be granted to Police Officer Waldron, who is a male, under circumstances which evidence discrimination on the part of Briarcliff.
 - 34. Plaintiff has been damaged.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 35. Plaintiff realleges and repeats the allegations and statements contained in paragraphs "1" through "34" of this complaint as if more fully set forth herein.
- 36. Plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1988.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. On the first claim for relief, a declaration that the Village of Briarcliff Manor has discriminated against Plaintiff upon the basis of sex in violation of law, together with compensatory and exemplary damages in an amount as determined by this Court;
- 2. On the second claim for relief, attorneys' fees and disbursements in an amount as determined by this Court;
 - Costs and disbursements of this action; and
 - 4. For such other, further and different relief this Court deems just.

Dated: White Plains, New York April 25, 2007

BAKER, LESHKO, SALINE & BLOSSER, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:

Mitchell J. Baker (MB-4339)

One North Lexington Avenue

White Plains, New York 10601

914.681.9500

05/01/2007 14:57 FAX 516 750 9444 04/26/2007 15:04 914-941-4837 Case 7:07-cv-03324-SCR

WRIGHT RISK MANAGEMENT Document 9-2 ILL 19 To 18 2017/018 Page 10 of PAGE 13/14

Exhibit "A"

(Right to Sue Letter)



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE WITHIN 90 DAYS

CERTIFIED MAIL 5057 2549

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Karen Ferguson, EMP, PHB, Room 4219 Washington, DC 20530

Ms. Linda DiMeglio c/o Mitchell J. Baker, Esquire Law Offices of Baker, Leshko, et al. Attorneys at Law 1 North Lexington Ave. White Plains, NY 10601-1712

April 19, 2007

Re: REOC Charge Against Village of Briarcliff Wenor Police Department

Dear Ms. DiMeglio:

Because you filed the above charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Commission has determined that it will not be able to investigate and conciliate that charge within 180 days of the date the Commission assumed jurisdiction over the charge and the Department has determined that it will not file any lawsuit(s) based thereon within that time, and because you through your attorney have specifically requested this Notice, you are hereby notified that you have the right to institute a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., against the above+named respondent.

If you choose to commence a civil action, such suit must be filed in the appropriate Court within 90 days of your receipt of

This Notice should not be taken to mean that the Department of Justice has made a judgment as to whether or not your case is meritorious.

Sincerely,

Wan J. Kim

Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

Karen L. Ferguson

Supervisory Civil Rights Analyst Employment Litigation Section

cc: New York District Office, BEOC Village of Briandliff Mamor Palis